

NAME	::
PAN: A	ADB12334XYZ
ASSES	SSMENT YEAR:
STA	TEMENT OF FACTS
1.	The Appellant is filing the present appeal aggrieved by the Assessment Order dated issued u/s 147 r.w.s 144B of the Income Tax Act, 1961 ("the Act") for the
2.	Assessment Year The Appellant had filed its Return of Income on, u/s 148 of the Act, declaring a total income of INR vide Acknowledgement no
3.	During the course of proceedings, the Appellant submitted relevant documents before the office of learned AO (Assessing Officer). The Appellant also made detailed submissions explaining its case. Furthermore, the Appellant also raised certain objections against the Assessment Proceedings.
4.	However, the learned AO did not consider the facts and explanations made by the Appellant. The AO vide its order dated, erroneously held that an amount of INRshould be added to the total income of the Appellant u/s 69A of the Act and consequently raised an unjustified demand to the tune of INR

5. Aggrieved by the impugned Assessment Order, the Appellant is preferring the present Appeal before the CIT-(A).

GROUNDS OF APPEAL

Section	Issue	Ground
Sections	The incorrect additions	That, in view of facts and circumstance of the
147/144B/	made by the learned AO	case and in law, the learned AO (Assessing
69A	(Assessing Officer) in the	Officer) erred in making an addition of
	hands of the Appellant.	INRu/s 69A of the Income Tax Act,
		1961 (the Act) against the Appellant,
		baselessly alleging the fact that, the Appellant
		had claimed fictitious LTCG exemption.
Sections	The learned AO has	That, during the course of Assessment
147/144B	erroneously added a sum of	Proceedings, the Appellant had already
	INRand thereby	submitted the necessary bank statement
	raised an incorrect demand	indicating a receipt of
	to the tune of	INRpertaining to the transaction
	INR	in question. However, the learned AO blindly
		made addition of INRand thereby
		raised an incorrect demand of INR
Section	The learned AO has not	That, during the course of Assessment
147/144B	furnished a single document	Proceedings, the learned AO had failed to
	to substantiate the	furnish a single document to validate the

	allegations made against the	allegations made against the Appellant. The
	Appellant.	aforesaid stance clearly violates the landmark
		ruling of Ashish Aggarwal vs. UOI.
		That, the learned AO made a hefty addition in
		the hands of the Appellant, without in
		possession of any tangible/incriminating
		material.
Section	The submissions made by	That, the Assessment Order passed by the
147/144B	Appellant were not	learned AO, u/s 147 r.w.s 144B of the Income
	considered by the learned	Tax Act, 1961 is incorrect, bad in law and
	AO.	most importantly the same had been passed
		without appreciating facts of the present case.
		Since, the proceedings were legally bound to
		be commenced within Section 153C, in
		accordance to the Income Tax Act, 1961.
		Furthermore, the learned AO failed to furnish
		the required Satisfaction Note, mandatory u/s
		153C/148A of the Act.
Section	No proper opportunity of	That, the Assessment Order passed is against
147/144B	being heard was given to the	the principles of Natural Justice. The learned
	Appellant.	AO has erred in law and facts of the case, as
		AO did not avail a proper opportunity to the
		Appellant of being heard.

		Furthermore, as per the E-portal itself, the limitation period for completion of the Assessment Proceeding was_31st march 2024.
		Henceforth, the learned AO had sufficient span of time to clarify the objections raised by
		the Appellant.
		However, the learned AO wrongly considered
		the limitation date as_31st may 2023, in
		accordance to Section 153(6) of the Income
		Tax Act, 1961. Thereby, the Assessment
		Order was passed in hurry by the learned AO.
Section	The Notice u/s 148 was	That, the Notice u/s 148 was issued after_31st
147/144B	issued after_31st march	march 2021. Therefore, the present matter
	2021. Therefore, the present	was time-barred, subsequently the Notices
	matter was time-barred,	issued and the Assessment Order is void ab
	subsequently the Notices	initio.
	issued and the Assessment	
	Order is void ab initio.	
Section	The material available on	That, the Appellant had previously requested
147/144B	record had not been	learned AO to confirm the status of the
	properly considered and	Searched Parties in question, as the primary
	judicially interpreted.	liability reside in their hands itself. Moreover,
		

		the Appellant also requested for Cross-
		Examination of relevant parties. However, the
		learned AO rejected the bona-fide plea of the
		Appellant.
		Please note that, if the Searched Parties have
		preferred a retraction against their recorded
		statement, the same should be disclosed to the
		Appellant in the Interests of Justice, infact if
		the Searched Parties have not been found
		guilty, in that scenario proceedings against the
		Appellant are void-ab initio.
Section	The demand raised is based	That, the learned AO made a hefty addition in
147/144B	on mere surmises and	the hands of the Appellant, without in
	conjunctures. The same	possession of any tangible/incriminating
	cannot be justified by any	material.
	material on record.	
		It is humbly submitted that, the Appellant was
		only bound to maintain records of 6 years
		prior to the assessment year under
		consideration.
Section	The addition cannot sustain	That, the addition in the present case is made
69A	u/s 69A of the Income Tax	u/s 69A of the Act, in case the transactions are
	Act, 1961.	duly recorded in the books of accounts, the

		addition cannot sustain within the said
		section.
		A plain reading of Section 69A of the Act
		makes it clear that, addition can only be made
		when a person is found to be in possession of
		money, bullion, jewellery etc. not reordered in
		his books of accounts.
Section	No DIN (Document	That, in accordance to the Circular No.
147/144B	Identification Number) was	19/2019 issued by CBDT (Central Board of
	mentioned within the Notice	Direct Taxes), it was clearly mentioned that,
	issued on, u/s 148	every Notice of Communication has to be
	of the Income Tax Act,	electronically generated inclusive of a DIN.
	1961.	However, the Notice issued on, u/s
		148 of the Act, was furnished without a DIN.
		Moreover, in case a Notice of Communication
		has been issued manually to the Assessee, the
		concerned AO has to record precise reasons in
		writing, alongwith the prior approval of Chief
		Commissioner/Director General of Income
		Tax.
		Thereby, the Assessment Order issued by the
		learned AO is invalid.

Section	The Notices issued u/s 148	That, the Notice u/s 148 of the Income Tax
147/144B/	and 156 of the Income Tax	Act, 1961 was incorrectly issued by the
157A	Act,1961 were erroneously	Jurisdictional Assessing Officer. On contrary,
	issued by the incompetent	the same should have been issued by the
	Authorities.	NFAC (National Faceless Assessment
		Centre), as per the Notification dated 18/2022
		issued CBDT.
		Moreover, the NFAC was not authorized to
		issue a demand notice u/s 156 of the Income
		Tax Act, 1961, as the scheme referred u/s
		157A of the Act has not been sanctioned
		legally to date.
Section	The learned AO incorrectly	The learned AO incorrectly assumed
147/144B	assumed jurisdiction for the	jurisdiction for the instant matter, u/s 147 of
	instant matter, u/s 147 of the	the Income Tax Act, 1961.
	Income Tax Act, 1961.	
Section	The learned AO has erred in	That, the learned AO has erred in not
147/144B	not following the judgments	following the judgments delivered by various
	delivered by various courts	courts in favour of the Appellant.
	in favour of the Appellant.	
Sections	The incorrect demand raised	That, the learned AO has raised an incorrect
156/234A/	(inclusive of interest) by the	demand (inclusive of interest) against the
234B	learned AO.	Appellant.

Section	The penalty initiated u/s	That, in view of facts and circumstance of the
271(1)(c)	271(1)(c) of the Act.	case and in law, the learned AO erred in
		initiating penalty u/s 271(1)(c) of the IT Act.
Sections	The Appellant craves leave	That, the Appellant craves leave to add,
147/144B	to add, amend, alter and or	amend, alter and or delete any of the above
	delete any of the above	grounds of appeal at or before the time of
	grounds of appeal at or	hearing.
	before the time of hearing.	

Disclaimer- The information/views contained in this document are personal in nature, are meant only for information and do not constitute a professional advise to act.